

June Medical Services v. Gee

No. 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB

MIDDLE DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA

The Center for Reproductive Rights and the law firm Morrison & Foerster filed a lawsuit challenging the **admitting privileges** requirement on behalf of three women's health centers, doctors, and their patients. Without a court order, the three plaintiff clinics would have had to stop providing abortions on September 1, 2014, the day the law took effect. Once the law was enacted, the plaintiffs' doctors applied for admitting privileges, but they do not yet have decisions from the hospitals. The Louisiana law gave doctors only eighty-one days to secure admitting privileges even though hospitals in the state may take anywhere from ninety days to seven months to make a decision about a doctor's privileges application.

Court Filings: Pleadings, Motions & Briefs

[June Medical Services v. Gee: Petition for Attorney's Fees](#)

[June Medical Services v. Gee: Notice of Appeal](#)

[June Medical Services v. Kliebert: Reply brief](#)

[June Medical Services v. Kliebert: Letter from plaintiffs](#)

[June Medical Services v. Kliebert: Opposition brief to emergency appeal](#)

[June Medical Services v. Kliebert: Petition to Vacate Stay](#)

[June Medical Services v. Kliebert: Emergency Motion of Appellant for Stay Pending Appeal](#)

[June Medical Services v. Caldwell: Complaint](#)

Court Opinions & Orders

[June Medical Services v. Kliebert: Supreme Court Stay](#)

[June Medical Services v. Gee: Fifth Circuit Emergency Stay](#)

[June Medical Services v. Kliebert: District Court Order Denying Stay](#)

[June Medical Services v. Kliebert: Findings of Facts and Conclusions of Law](#)

[June Medical Services v. Caldwell: Temporary Restraining Order](#)

Press

[Federal District Court Permanently Blocks Louisiana's Texas-style Clinic Shutdown Law](#)

[States Continue to Defend Unconstitutional Clinic Shutdown Laws in Spite of Supreme Court Ruling](#)

[Supreme Court Steps In To Protect Abortion Access in Louisiana](#)

[Fifth Circuit Greenlights Texas-style Clinic Shutdown Law in Louisiana, Three out of Four Remaining Abortion Clinics in the State Will Be Forced to Close](#)

[Federal District Court Continues to Block Louisiana's Texas-Style Clinic Shutdown Law](#)

[Federal Court Blocks Enforcement of Louisiana Law Threatening Women's Access to Safe and Legal Abortion](#)

[Louisiana Health Care Providers in Court to Protect Access to Safe, Legal Abortion Care](#)

June Medical Services v. Gee

Case No. 16-CV-444-BAJ-RLB

MIDDLE DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA

The Center filed a lawsuit in federal court on July 1, 2016 challenging all seven of the new abortion **restrictions passed by the state of Louisiana in 2016**. The laws were scheduled to take effect on August 1, however, under an agreement between the Plaintiffs and the State the enforcement of the laws has been delayed. On December 16, we filed an amended complaint in light of additional regulations enacted by the State.

Court Filings: Pleadings, Motions & Briefs

[June Medical Services v. Gee: First Amended Complaint](#)

[June Medical Services v. Gee: Plaintiffs' Revised and Supplemental Proposed Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law](#)

[June Medical Services v. Gee: Complaint for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief](#)

Court Opinions & Orders

[June Medical Services v. Gee: Order](#)

Press

[Federal Court Rejects Bid to Halt Center for Reproductive Rights Lawsuit Against Louisiana Abortion Restrictions](#)

[Center for Reproductive Rights Files New Lawsuit Challenging Every Abortion Restriction Passed in Louisiana This Year](#)

June Medical Services, LLC v. LDH and Gee

NINETEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

COURT STATE OF LOUISIANA, PARISH OF EAST BATON ROUGE

DIVISION "D"

CASE NO.: 657201

This lawsuit was filed in state court against the Louisiana Department of Health challenging agency regulations on abortion providers pushed through under the Jindal administration in 2015. Defendants assert that LDH does not have the Statutory authority to promulgate the Abortion Facilities Licensing Standards (LAC), that were published in the Louisiana Register (Vol. 41, No. 04, 685) on April 20, 2015. Defendants also assert that LDH failed to properly promulgate the Regulations pursuant to the requirements of the Louisiana Administrative Procedure Act ("LAPA"), La. R.S. 49:950, et seq.

Court Filings:

Petition for Declaratory Judgement

June Medical Services v. Gee

Case 3:17-cv-00404-JJB-RLB

MIDDLE DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA

The Center for Reproductive Rights filed a lawsuit in federal district court on June 27, 2017 challenging a Louisiana clinic licensing law that contains more than 1,000 medically unnecessary requirements that apply exclusively to clinics that provide abortion.

Court Filings: Pleadings, Motions & Briefs

June Medical Services v. Gee: Complaint

Press

Center for Reproductive Rights Challenges Louisiana Clinic Shutdown Laws One Year After Historic Supreme Court Decision

Planned Parenthood Gulf Coast v. Gee

Case 3:18-cv-00176-JWD-RLB

MIDDLE DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA

This case challenges the State of Louisiana’s unconstitutional attempts to prevent PPGC and PPCfC from providing comprehensive reproductive health care services, including abortion, to their patients in Louisiana who need that care. Defendant's claim the state is denying patients their constitutional rights by denying their application for abortion license without sufficient procedural protections. The Defendants also challenges La. Rev. Stat. §§ 40-1061.6(A) & 36:21 violates 42 U.S.C. § 1396a(a)(23), which is known as the Medicaid “free choice of provider” requirement, for the same reason the courts enjoined Louisiana’s prior effort to exclude PPGC from Medicaid. See *Planned Parenthood of Gulf Coast, Inc. v. Gee*, 862 F.3d 445 (5th Cir. 2017).

Court Filings: Pleadings, Motions & Briefs

[Planned Parenthood Gulf Coast v. Gee: Complaint](#)